STAKEHOLDER INVOLVEMENT WITH ELITE DEVELOPMENT PATHWAYS IN TENNIS

Abstract ID: EASM-2015-153/R1 - (673)

All authors:

Jessie Brouwers (corresp), Popi Sotiriadou, Veerle De Bosscher

Date submitted: 2015-03-20

Date accepted: 2015-05-28

Type: Scientific

Keywords: Tennis, elite, pathways, talent, stakeholders

Category: B: Organisational Diversity in Elite Sport Practices

Synopsis:

Abstract:

See revised abstract attached. 'Track changes' in WORD were used to highlight any amendments to the original submission.

References:

Bayle, E., & Robinson, L. (2007). A framework for understanding the performance of national governing bodies of sport. European Sport Management Quarterly, 7(3), 249-268.

Darcy, S., & Dowse, L. (2012). In search of a level playing field – the constraints and benefits of sport participation for people with intellectual disability. Disability & Society, 28(3), 393-407. doi: 10.1080/09687599.2012.714258 Green, B. C. (2005). Building sport programs to optimize athlete recruitment, retention, and transition: Toward a normative theory of sport development. Journal of Sport Management, 19(3), 233.

Oakley, B., & Green, M. (2001). Still playing the game at arm's length? The selective re-investment in British sport,1995–2000. Managing Leisure, 6(2), 74-94.

Sam, M. (2012). Targeted investments in elite sport funding: Wiser, more innovative and strategic? Managing Leisure, 17(2-3), 207-220. doi: 10.1080/13606719.2012.674395

Sotiriadou, K., Shilbury, D., & Quick, S. (2008). The attraction, retention/transition, and nurturing process of sport development: Some Australian evidence. Journal of Sport Management, 22(3), 247-272. Sotiriadou, P., Wicker, P., & Quick, S. (2014). Attracting and retaining club members in times of changing societies: The case of cycling in Australia. Managing Leisure, 1-14. doi: 10.1080/13606719.2014.885718