

THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN ORGANIZATIONAL STRUCTURE AND EFFECTIVENESS IN BELGIAN SOCCER CLUBS

Anne-line Balduck & Marc Buelens, University of Ghent, Belgium

Context

The concepts of organizational structure and organizational effectiveness have played a central role in organizational theory. Kimberly and Rottman (1987) suggested that structure directly affects an organization's effectiveness. Although these topics were studied well in general business literature, the application of these concepts in sports literature is relatively sparse. The available studies focused on sport federations or the American athletic departments. However, research on the sports club, which is the most applied organizations structure in Europe, is lacking. This study examined the relationship between organizational structure and organizational effectiveness in Belgian soccer clubs.

Methods

Three dimensions of organizational structure- centralization, specialization and formalization- are acknowledged in organizational theory (Pugh et al, 1968) and sport management (Kikulis et al, 1995a, 1995b; Cunningham & Rivera, 2001). Centralization refers to the level of decision-making: decentralized versus centralized. Specialization concerns the vertical or horizontal differentiation of the organization, how far roles are assigned according to purposes. Formalization is how far rules, procedures and instructions are formally established in written rules and regulations.

Five accepted effectiveness models were implemented in this study. The goal model defines effectiveness as how far the organization accomplishes its goals (Cameron, 1981). The system resource model of Yuchtman & Seashore (1967) focuses on the ability to obtain scarce and needed resources from the environment. The third, internal process model pays attention to the internal communications, information flows and processes within the organization (Cameron, 1981). The human relations model focuses on the well being of its members (Quinn and Rohrbaugh, 1983). The last, the multiple constituency model defines effectiveness in terms of how far the needs and expectations of the strategic constituencies are fulfilled (Connolly, 1980).

The questionnaire was based on the literature on organizational effectiveness and structure, but applied to the sports club. The sample consisted of 46 Belgian soccer clubs, 35% national and 65% provincial.

Results

Results of an exploratory Factor Analysis supported the three dimensions of organizational structure. The total variance explained was 65.7%. Chronbach's Alphas were all above 0.70. No significant correlations were found between the three dimensions of organizational structure, confirming their relative independence.

Internal consistency (Cronbach's Alpha) for the dependent variables was satisfactory, except for the goal model. Due to different goal achievements for youths and seniors, the goal model needed separating. Based on content validity, the multiple constituency model was also split. Internal consistency estimates are presented in Table 1, while Pearson correlations between the independent and the dependent variables are presented in Table 2.

There was a significant correlation between centralization and the internal process model. Specialization and formalization were significantly correlated with the multiple constituency model 2 and the multiple constituency model (total). Formalization also correlated significantly with the internal process model.

This means that a higher level of decision-making and more written procedures are positively associated with a higher degree of communication and information flows. Second, the higher the task specialization within the organization, the more the needs of the strategic constituencies are fulfilled.

Table 1: Reliability estimates (Chronbach's alpha)

	α
Organizational structure	
Centralization (4 items)	.76
Specialization (3 items)	.78
Formalization (5 items)	.83
Organizational effectiveness	
Goal model 1 (1 item)	-
Goal model 2 (1 item)	-
System resource model (3 items)	.65
Multiple constituency model	.69
Multiple const model 1 (4 items)	.67
Multiple const model 2 (11 items)	.71
Internal process model (3 items)	.65
Human relations model (3 items)	.79

Table 2: Pearson correlations between dimensions of organizational structure and organizational effectiveness models

	Goal Model1	Goal Model2	System Resource Model	Multiple Constituency Model2	Multiple Constituency Model1	Multiple Constituency Model (total)	Internal Process Model	Human Relations Model
Centralization	.156	-.052	.158	.112	-.112	-.072	.454**	-.049
Specialization	.261	.276	.129	.435**	.199	.337*	.223	-.163
Formalization	.128	.281	.121	.345*	.224	.332*	.395**	.148

** p < 0.01 level, * p < 0.05 level

Discussion

The model indicates that highly centralized and formalized soccer clubs have also a high level of information and communication management. Thus soccer clubs with well-organized internal information flows have strong top management and many written procedures. Soccer clubs with a high degree of specialization are more likely to satisfy their constituencies. An explanation could be that highly specialized soccer clubs have a responsible (or a department) whose task is to take care of the club's stakeholders. Although not significant, there is a negative correlation between 2 dimensions of structure – centralization and specialization- and the human relations model, indicating that highly specialized tasks and a high level of authority could be bad for the well being of its members.

References

- Cameron K.S. (1981) Domains of organizational effectiveness in colleges and universities *Academy of Management Journal* 24 (1) 25-47
- Connolly T., Conlon E.J. & Deutsch S.J. (1980) Organizational effectiveness: a multiple-constituency approach *Academy of Management Review* 5 (2) 211-217
- Cunningham G.B. & Rivera C.A. (2001) Structural designs within American Intercollegiate athletic departments *International Journal of Organizational Analysis* 9 (4) 369-390
- Kikulis L.M., Slack T. & Hinings C.R. (1995a) Sector-specific patterns of organizational design change *Journal of Management Studies* 32 (1) 67-100
- Kikulis L.M. & Slack T. (1995b) Does decision making make a difference? Patterns of change within Canadian National Sport Organizations *Journal of Sport Management* 9, 273-299
- Kimberly J.R. & Rottman D.B. (1987) Environment, organization and effectiveness: A biographical approach *Journal of Management Studies* 24, 595-622
- Pugh D.S., Hickson D.J., Hinings C.R. & Turner C. (1968) Dimensions of organizational structure *Administrative Science Quarterly* 13, 65-105
- Quinn R.E. & Rohrbaugh J. (1983) A spatial model of effectiveness criteria: towards a competing values approach to organizational analysis *Management Science* 29 (3) 363-377
- Yuchtman E. & Seashore S.E. (1967) A system resource approach to organizational effectiveness *American Sociological Review* 32 (6) 891-903

Contact: AnnelineBalduck@Ughent.be